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Abstract

This paper demonstrates how the probability can be used as a decision tool for justifying the appropriate results from the cooling load calculation. The ventilation heat gain, as one component in cooling load, is used to demonstrate the method presented in this paper. The probability for each amount of ventilation heat gain under various design condition is calculated. The results of ventilation heat gain in the studying case vary from 436 to 593 kW depend on what design condition is used. By using 98% of cumulative density function, it indicates that the amount of ventilation heat gain is 537 kW. Using this probability information one can now logically decide for an appropriate amount of ventilation heat gain used and hence cooling load amount. This will lead to an efficient in energy management as well as reducing the risk in air conditioning system investment.
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1. Introduction

Cooling load is the rate of heat which must be removed from the space to maintain a specific space air temperature and moisture content. The parameters affecting cooling load calculations are numerous, for example, the outside air temperature, the humidity ratio, the number and activity of people and etc. These parameters are often difficult to precisely define and always intricately interrelated. Many cooling load components vary in magnitude over a wide range during a 24 hr period. These cyclic changes in load components are not often in phase with each other. Each must be analyzed to establish the maximum cooling load for a building or zone. Moreover effects of thermal accumulation also involve in calculating procedure. Therefore various models and assumptions are developed. The estimated results at the specific time of calculation are normally expected and not the exact ones.

By referring to or using difference values of parameters at the same specific time of calculation will result in difference outcomes of the calculation. Most of the reference data for the parameters used in calculation are from the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) standards which obtained from data collection and experiments. However the standards do not present the probability and variance of those data as well as the chances that other data apart from standard data might occur. ASHRAE standards define the design conditions in the form of maximum temperature for dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperature. However cooling load calculations depend not only on temperature but also on other parameters such as lighting or occupants. These parameters sometimes are uncertain and in some cases are difficult to find the exact information. This leads to the uncertainty in the calculation result. Therefore safety factor always involves in the final phase of cooling load calculations.

2. Probabilistic Approach

In order to attack this uncertainty problem in cooling load calculation, we must first categorize parameters those affect the cooling load. They can be divided into 2 types, i.e. uncontrollable and controllable parameters. Uncontrollable parameters, such as outside air temperature, affect the change in the probability of cooling load occurrence while controllable parameters, such as type and shading coefficient of glass, do not affect the change in the probability of cooling load occurrence. Conventionally the standard values of uncontrollable parameters that related to climate such as outside air temperature are obtained from data collection with statistical analysis. For non-climate type uncontrollable parameters, such as lighting, the values are obtained from laboratory experiment for a specific condition. Therefore in each case we are restricted to only one standard value for each parameters considered for the calculation. Under the new concept, all data values must be considered.
along with probability density function that indicates the frequencies of occurrence, not just the standard ones. The cooling load received from the calculation will contain its own probability to indicate the chance for that specific cooling load to occur. Therefore specifying the amount of cooling load used can be logically decided. This will help reducing the risk in air conditioning system investment.

3. Cooling Load Calculation

Heat gains that enter into or are generated in space are external heat gain, internal heat gain and ventilation heat gain. Only ventilation heat gain is considered in this paper to demonstrate the application of probabilistic in cooling load calculations. Heat gain from ventilation is important for areas that need high ventilation rate, for example restaurant, theater, and etc. Ventilation is used to maintain indoor air quality to standard condition. Unless the ventilation is used to maintain indoor air quality, it affects to the thermal comfort condition in the buildings.

Ventilation heat gain calculations are normally referred to ASHRAE standard. The maximum dry-bulb temperature is used to calculate maximum sensible heat gain. At the maximum wet-bulb temperature, if dry-bulb temperature is high, latent heat gain may decrease. In the contrary, at the maximum humidity ratio, latent heat gain is always the maximum. Moreover the maximum humidity ratio value is not necessary to be the same condition for the maximum wet-bulb temperature. This indicates that the maximum ventilation heat gain can be occurred at any point. Therefore in order to determine the probability of ventilation as well as its maximum heat gain value at any condition, both the probability of dry-bulb temperature and the probability of humidity ratio must be used.

4. Probabilistic Approach for Ventilation Heat Gain Calculation

For constant, \( \rho, \dot{Q}, c_p \) and \( T_i \), the sensible heat gain is a function of outside dry-bulb temperature and is given by;

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent, sen, o}} = f_{\text{vent}}(T_{o,\theta}) = \rho \dot{Q} c_p (T_{o,\theta} - T_i) \tag{1}
\]

or

\[
T_{o,\theta} = \frac{f_{\text{vent}}(\dot{q}_{\text{vent, sen, o}})}{\rho \dot{Q} c_p} + T_i \tag{2}
\]

where

\( c_p \) = specific heat of air  
\( \rho \) = air density  
\( \dot{Q} \) = air volume flow rate  
\( T_{o,\theta} \) = outside temperature  
\( T_i \) = inside temperature

If the probability density function (PDF) of the outside temperature is \( f_{T}(T_{o,\theta}) \), then by definition

Cumulative density function (CDF)

\[
F_T(T_{o,\theta}) = \int_{-\infty}^{T_{o,\theta}} f_T(T_{o,\theta}) dT_{o,\theta} \tag{3}
\]
The probability density function of sensible heat gain can be obtained by using the equivalent of cumulative density function on range \( q_{\text{vent,sen}} \) and domain \( T_o, T \) and is given by

\[
f_{\text{pdf}}(q_{\text{vent,sen},0}) = \frac{1}{\rho Q c_p} f_T \left( \frac{q_{\text{vent,sen},0}}{\rho Q c_p} + T_i \right)
\]

Latent heat gain is given by

\[
q_{\text{vent,lat}} = \rho \dot{Q} h_f (w_o - w_i)
\]

where

- \( h_f \) = enthalpy of saturated water
- \( w_o \) = outside humidity ratio
- \( w_i \) = inside humidity ratio

If \( \rho, \dot{Q}, h_f \), and \( w_i \) are constant then latent heat gain is a function of outside humidity ratio

\[
q_{\text{vent,lat},0} = f_{\text{pdf}}(w_o, 0)
\]

or

\[
w_o,0 = f^{-1}(q_{\text{vent,lat},0}) = \frac{q_{\text{vent,lat},0}}{\rho \dot{Q} h_f} + w_i
\]

The total ventilation heat gain (\( \dot{q}_{\text{vent}} \)) is the combination of sensible heat gain and latent heat gain.

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent},0} = \rho \dot{Q} c_p (T_o - T_i) + \rho \dot{Q} h_f (w_o - w_i)
\]

In order to obtain the probability density function of total ventilation heat gain, we must first find the probability of relative probability density function of sensible heat gain and latent heat gain; \( f_{\text{pdf}}(q_{\text{vent,sen},0}, q_{\text{vent,lat},0}) \). This relative function can be obtained by using the equivalent of cumulative density function on range \( (q_{\text{vent,sen},0}, q_{\text{vent,lat},0}) \) and domain \( (T_o, w_o, 0) \) and is given by

\[
f_{\text{pdf}}(q_{\text{vent,sen},0}, q_{\text{vent,lat},0}) = \frac{1}{\rho^2 \dot{Q} c_p h_f}
\]

The probability density function of total ventilation heat gain can be found from Cumulative density function (CDF)

\[
F_w(w_o, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{w_o} f_w(w_o, 0) \, dw_o, 0
\]

The probability density function of latent heat gain can be obtained by using the equivalent of cumulative density function on range \( q_{\text{vent,lat}} \) and domain \( w_o, 0 \) and is given by

\[
f_{\text{pdf}}(q_{\text{vent,lat},0}) = f_w(w_o, 0) + w_i
\]
If we consider that the outside temperature and the humidity ratio are independent, which is normally the assumption for the calculation then the probability density function of relative probability density function of sensible heat and latent heat gain is given by

\[ f_{q_v}(q_{vent}, \theta) = \frac{1}{\rho \dot{Q} c_p h_f} \int_{\alpha} f_T \left( \frac{\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}}{\rho \dot{Q} h_f} \right) d\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta} \]  

(13)

\[ f_{T_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta} + T_i, \frac{\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}}{\rho \dot{Q} h_f} + w_i) d\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta} \]

If we consider that the outside temperature and the humidity ratio are independent, which is normally the assumption for the calculation then the probability density function of sensible heat and latent heat gain is given by

\[ f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}, \dot{q}_{vent, lat, \theta}) = f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta})f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, lat, \theta}) \]  

(14)

and the probability density function of total ventilation heat gain is

\[ f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent}) = \int_{\alpha} f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}, \dot{q}_{vent, lat, \theta}) d\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta} \]

\[ = \int_{\alpha} f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}) f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, lat, \theta}) d\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta} \]

\[ f_{q_v}(\dot{q}_{vent}) = \frac{1}{\rho \dot{Q} c_p h_f} \int_{\alpha} f_T \left( \frac{\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}}{\rho \dot{Q} c_p} + T_i \right) \]

\[ f_w \left( \frac{\dot{q}_{vent, \theta} - \dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta}}{\rho \dot{Q} h_f} + w_i \right) d\dot{q}_{vent, sen, \theta} \]  

(15)

5. Case Study

The following case studies are used to illustrate the usefulness of the new concept to consider the ventilation heat gain presented in this paper.

**Given data:** A building in Bangkok, Thailand requires ventilation rate \( \dot{Q} = 10 \text{ m}^3/\text{s} \), inside temperature \( T_i = 24^\circ\text{C} \) and inside relative humidity ratio \( Rh_i = 50\% \). Determine the appropriate amount of ventilation heat gain.

\[ c_p = 1,000 \text{ J/kg} \cdot \text{K} \]

\[ h_f = 2500 \text{ kJ/kg} \]

\[ \rho = 1.23 \text{ kg/m}^3 \]

Data obtained from the Meteorological department of Thailand at Bangkok station during year 2001-2003 are shown in table 1

| Data obtained from the Meteorological department of Thailand at Bangkok station during year 2001-2003 are shown in table 1 |
|---|---|---|
| Solution |
| Condition 1: Consider using dry-bulb temperature at 98.0 % design condition and mean coincident wet-bulb temperature that indicate maximum sensible heat gain. |

<p>| Table 1 Temperature Data |
|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry-bulb temperature ( T_{db} )</td>
<td>29.1°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet-bulb temperature ( T_{wb} )</td>
<td>25.2°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity ratio ( w )</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Humidity ratio is calculated from dry-bulb and wet-bulb temperature data.
Dry-bulb temperature at 98.0 % design condition is 35°C. Mean coincident wet-bulb temperature is 26.8°C. For $T_{db} = 24^\circ$C and Rh = 50%, the humidity ratio is $w = 0.0092$

Therefore

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = \rho \dot{Q}_{c_p} (T_{\text{db}} - T_i) + \rho \dot{Q}_{h_{\text{cp}}}(w_{o,\text{db}} - w_i) \\
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 1.0 \cdot (35.0 - 24) \\
+ 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 2500 \cdot (0.0190 - 0.0092)
\]

and

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 436.65 \text{ kW}
\]

**Condition 2.1:** Consider using wet-bulb temperature at 98.0 % design condition and mean coincident dry-bulb temperature that indicate maximum latent heat gain.

Wet-bulb temperature at 98.0 % design condition is 29.3°C. Mean coincident dry-bulb temperature is 33.1°C. For $T_{wb} = 29.3^\circ$C and $T_{db} = 33.1^\circ$C, the humidity ratio is $w = 0.0244$

Therefore

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = \rho \dot{Q}_{c_p} (T_{\text{wb}} - T_i) + \rho \dot{Q}_{h_{\text{cp}}}(w_{o,\text{wb}} - w_i) \\
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 1.0 \cdot (29.3 - 24) \\
+ 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 2500 \cdot (0.0241 - 0.0092)
\]

and

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 530.75 \text{ kW}
\]

**Condition 2.2:** Consider using humidity ratio at 98.0 % design condition and mean coincident dry-bulb temperature that indicate maximum latent heat gain.

Humidity ratio at 98.0 % design condition is 0.0241. Mean coincident dry-bulb temperature is 29.9°C

Therefore

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = \rho \dot{Q}_{c_p} (T_{\text{wb}} - T_i) + \rho \dot{Q}_{h_{\text{cp}}}(w_{o,\text{wb}} - w_i) \\
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 1.0 \cdot (29.9 - 24) \\
+ 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 2500 \cdot (0.0241 - 0.0092)
\]

and

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 593.48 \text{ kW}
\]

**Condition 3:** Consider using both dry-bulb temperature at 98.0 % design condition and humidity ratio at 98.0 % design condition.

Therefore

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = \rho \dot{Q}_{c_p} (T_{\text{db}} - T_i) + \rho \dot{Q}_{h_{\text{cp}}}(w_{o,\text{db}} - w_i) \\
\dot{q}_{\text{vent,0}} = 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 1.0 \cdot (35.0 - 24) \\
+ 1.23 \cdot 10 \cdot 2500 \cdot (0.0244 - 0.0092)
\]

As one can see that the amount of ventilation heat gain varies from 436 to 593 kW depend on what design condition is used. The question is what should be the appropriate value for ventilation heat gain to consider in our cooling load calculation. The design condition as we always use in our conventional method is obviously not the logical answer to this question since it is just the condition we select in order to accomplish the calculation.
From the least mean square error in several distribution models, we found that the suitable PDF of dry-bulb temperature \( f_{T_e}(T_{e, \theta}) \) and humidity ratio \( f_w(w_{e, \theta}) \) are normal distribution and the dry-bulb temperature and humidity ratio are assumed to be independent then using equation (15) the PDF of total ventilation heat gain can be found as follow:

\[
f_{\dot{q}_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, \theta}) = \frac{1}{\rho \dot{Q}_v \sqrt{2\pi((\rho \dot{Q}_v \sigma_{T_e}^2 + (\rho \dot{Q}_h \sigma_{w}^2))}}
\]

\[
e^{-\frac{(\dot{q}_{vent, \theta} - \rho \dot{Q}_v (E_r - T_e) + \rho \dot{Q}_h (E_w - w_{\theta}))^2}{2((\rho \dot{Q}_v \sigma_{T_e}^2 + (\rho \dot{Q}_h \sigma_{w}^2))}}
\]

\[
f_{\dot{q}_v}(\dot{q}_{vent, \theta}) = 0.004555 \cdot e^{-\frac{(\dot{q}_{vent, \theta} - 354.86)^2}{15335.51}}
\]

The above equation is integrated to obtain the cumulative density function of ventilation heat gain. The result is shown in figure 1.

![Figure 1: Cumulative density function of ventilation heat gain.](image)

In figure 1, various ventilation heat gain amounts are now equipped with their own cumulative density function. For example, at 98% of cumulative density function, it indicates that there is only 2% of chance that the ventilation heat gain will be more than 537.05 kW.

The results of ventilation heat gain amount from various design conditions as previously mentioned can be substituted into the graph shown in figure 1 to find the cumulative density function of their own. Results are presented in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Condition</th>
<th>Ventilation Heat Gain</th>
<th>CDF value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98% ( T_{db} ) and Mean ( T_{wb} )</td>
<td>436.65 kW</td>
<td>82.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98% ( T_{wb} ) and Mean ( T_{db} )</td>
<td>579.33 kW</td>
<td>99.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98% ( w ) and Mean ( T_{db} )</td>
<td>530.75 kW</td>
<td>97.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98% ( T_{db} ) and 98% ( w )</td>
<td>593.48 kW</td>
<td>99.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98% Total Heat Gain</td>
<td>537.05 kW</td>
<td>98.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the information in table 2, ones can make a decision to pick up the value for ventilation heat gain with chances that they are willing to take for 98% design condition.
6. Conclusion

The new concept for determining the cooling load which involves the probability density function is presented using the ventilation heat gain as an example. Under this method, the appropriate amount of cooling load can be logically determined using the known cumulative density function as a tool for decision making. Engineers can now state an amount of cooling load by knowing the probability that the load will occur. This helps reducing the risk in air conditioning system investment.

7. Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by the Building Technology and Environment Laboratory, Mechanical Engineering Department, and the Graduate School of Chulalongkorn University, which the author acknowledges.
8. References


